

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/024,048	12/14/2001	Liron Frenkel	3394P010	5374
8791	7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR	PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C		
12 100 11 120	2400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD EVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ES, CA 90025-1030		2611	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/024,048	FRENKEL, LIRON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	23 rd . 2006.					
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed.						
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-33</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>December 14th, 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 12, 2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-33 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, regarding Claims 1-22, filed on June 23rd, 2006, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 23-28 (method) & 29-33 (apparatus) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Bourlas et al. (2006/0126549 A1).

Regarding to Claims 23-25 & 29-31, the AAPA discloses a method for bidirectional communication, comprising: transmitting single-carrier signals comprising data symbols between first and second Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) modems including single-carrier (SHDSL) over a communication channel in upstream and downstream direction: within respective upstream and downstream transmission spectra that are at least partly mutually overlapping (Fig.'s 1-2 & Specification, Page

Application/Control Number: 10/024,048

Art Unit: 2611

3, lines 18-30). The AAPA further discloses that the noise level at the customer premise equipment (CPE) is lower than the noise at the central office (CO) (Specification, Page 4, lines 19-22). However, AAPA does not disclose setting different, respective upstream and downstream bit-loading rates in bits/symbol for the symbols in the upstream and downstream directions, responsive to conditions on the channel.

Bourlas disclose setting different, respective upstream and downstream bit-loading rates in bits/symbol for the symbols in the upstream and downstream directions, responsive to conditions on the channel (Paragraph's 24-25 & 41) {Interpretation: Bourlas discloses communication between two modems over a communications channel implementing adaptive modulation wherein depending on the channel conditions the modulation density (bits per symbol) are varied}.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Bourlas discloses implementing adaptive modulation for communications between modems and this can be implemented in the method as described in the AAPA so as to determine the optimal bit-loading rates for communication in the upstream and downstream directions so as to provide robust and reliable communication between modems satisfying the desired BER of the communication, in varying channel conditions.

Regarding to Claims 26-28 & 32-33, the AAPA in view of Bourlas discloses a method for transmitting single carrier signals between two DSL modems wherein setting different bit-loading for upstream and downstream wherein further the bit

Application/Control Number: 10/024,048 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

loading upstream is lower than the loading for the downstream and the noise at the customer premise equipment (CPE) is lower than the noise at the central office (CO) as described above. The AAPA further discloses setting the communication rate to be a maximum value rate in the range that meets a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) margin criterion at a selected baud rate (Specification, Page 1, Background of Invention, lines 1-12 & Specification, Page 2, lines 1-15 & Specification, Page 3, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that AAPA in view of Bourlas satisfies the limitations of the claims. Furthermore, there is no criticality in selecting the baud rate of the upstream and downstream to be substantiality the same rate and using the minimum of the upstream or downstream baud rates and using a standard modulation scheme this is a matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-22 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, it is recommended to the applicant to amend all the claims so as to be patentable over the cited prior art of record. A detailed list of pertinent references is included with this Office Action (See Attached "Notice of References Cited" (PTO-892)).
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/024,048

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571)-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sudhanshu C. Pathak Examiner Art Unit 2611